REMARKS

Claims 1-23 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 2, 5-12, 16, 17 and 20-23 are amended. Reconsideration based on the above amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

I. The Claims Satisfy All Formal Requirements

The Office Action objects to the claims because of informalities. Specifically, the Office Action objects to the recitation of "detection object" and the recitation in claims 7 and 8 of "the feature point of the image." As discussed in the April 19, 2006 telephone interview, the claims are amended to obviate the objections. Withdrawal of the objections is respectfully requested.

II. The Claims Define Allowable Subject Matter

The Office Action rejects claims 1-6 and 13-19 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,603,462 to Matusis (hereinafter "Matusis"); claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,501,846 to Dickinson et al. (hereinafter "Dickinson"); and claims 9 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as unpatentable over Dickinson in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,752,966 to Schiller (hereinafter "Schiller"). As discussed in the April 19, 2006 telephone interview, Applicant understands that it was the Examiner's intention also to reject method claims 20-23 under the same bases as claim 1. Thus, the rejections are traversed below with regard to all pending claims.

The Examiner may assert that Dickinson discloses detecting rotation. However,
Dickinson does not disclose the rotation direction. Further, Matusis, Dickinson and Schiller
do not disclose detecting the rotation direction around at least one of the first and second axes
on the detection surface, as claimed in claims 1, 2 and 20. Thus, the applied art cannot
provide advantages of the claimed invention, i.e., an input device which can improve security
protection and operability.

Application No. 10/665,418

For at least these reasons, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1, 2 and 20 are

distinguishable over the applied art. It is also respectfully submitted that the claims that

depend therefrom are distinguishable over the applied art for at least the reasons discussed as

well as for the additional features they recite. Withdrawal of the rejections based on the

applied art is respectfully requested.

III. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in

condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance are earnestly

solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place

this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the

undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Oliff

Registration No. 27,075

Eric D. Morehouse

Registration No. 38,565

JAO:EDM/jfb

Date: April 26, 2006

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

P.O. Box 19928

Alexandria, Virginia 22320

Telephone: (703) 836-6400

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION

Please grant any extension necessary for entry;

Charge any fee due to our

Deposit Account No. 15-0461

-9-